Lecture 14: Differentially Private Deep Learning

Steven Wu Carnegie Mellon University

Model Training with DP

Given private data $s_1, ..., s_n$, solve $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(x; s_i)$

subject to differential privacy

DP-SGD (in Theory)



DP-SGD (in Practice)

Differentially Private SGD [ACGMMTZ16] At each iteration t, Gradient Clipping: $Clip(g, C) = g \min \left\{ 1, \frac{C}{\|g\|_2} \right\}$ • For each s_i in the mini-batch S_t : $g_t = \left[\frac{1}{|S_t|} \sum_{i \in S_t} \text{Clip}(\nabla \mathscr{C}(x_t; s_i), C) \right]$ Set σ to scale with C Noisy gradient update :

 $x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \left(g_t + Z_t\right), \ Z_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$

Privacy Guarantee for DP-SGD (with Clipping) [BST14,ACGMMTZ16]

Theorem: DP-SGD with gradient clipping of threshold Csatisfies (ϵ, δ) -differential privacy, if the noise rate $\sigma \ge a \frac{Cq\sqrt{T\ln(1/\delta)}}{\epsilon}$ for some constant a and $q = \frac{|S_t|}{n}$.

How about convergence and optimality?

Part I: Understanding the effects of clipping on differentially private optimization

Clipping is a special case of projection:

 $\Pi_G(g) = \min_{g'} \|g - g'\|_2 \text{ where } G \text{ is ball of radius } C$

Projection onto G of other geometric structures

Part 2: Leveraging the low-dimensional structure in gradients to achieve better accuracy

Part I: Understanding the effects of gradient clipping on private optimization

 Xiangyi Chen, Z. S.W., Mingyi Hong "Understanding Gradient Clipping in Private SGD: A Geometric Perspective" In NeurIPS 2020 (Spotlight)

Bad Example I

Loss:
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{2} (x - s_i)^2$$

where $s_1 = s_2 = -3$ and $s_3 = 9$.
 \Rightarrow Optimum $x^* = 1$

Clipped gradient at x^* $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Clip}(\nabla_x \ell(x^*; s_i), 1)] = 0$ \Rightarrow push iterates away from opt

Bad Example 2

Loss:
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} (x - s_i)^2$$

where $s_1 = 3, s_2 = -3$
 \Rightarrow Optimum $x^* = 0$

Clipped gradient for any $x \in [-2,2]$ $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Clip}(\nabla_x \ell(x^*; s_i), 1)] = 0$

 \Rightarrow does not converge to opt

Adversarial Effects of Clipping



Do these occur in practical instances?

DP-SGD on **MNIST**

- DP-SGD with Clip norm C = 160 epochs, $\epsilon \approx 3$, test accuracy $\approx 96.5 \%$
- DP-SGD with Clip norm C = 0.160 epochs, $\epsilon \approx 3$, test accuracy $\approx 92\%$

A glimpse of gradient distribution



Histogram of cosine between stochastic gradients and true gradient Does symmetricity in gradient distribution lead to convergence?

Analysis without DP

SGD with gradient clipping:

• Clipped gradient: $g_t = \text{Clip} \left(\nabla f(x_t) + \xi_t, C \right)$ ξ_t : stochastic gradient noise

• $x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta g_t$



I-d Analysis

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(x-a)^2]$$
$$a \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

For x = 0.5, calculate $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Clip}(\nabla f(x_t) + \xi, 1)]$



I-d Analysis

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(x-a)^2]$$
$$a \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

For x = 0.5, calculate $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Clip}(\nabla f(x_t) + \xi, 1)]$

- Outside of cancelling boundary: stochastic gradient cancels
- Expectation = true gradient inside symmetric no-clip boundary



Higher Dimension



Symmetricity-based Analysis

Clipped gradient:
$$g = \text{Clip} \left(\nabla f(x) + \xi, C \right)$$

 ξ : stochastic gradient noise

Theorem [CWH20]. Assume \tilde{p} is a symmetric distribution: $\tilde{p}(\xi) = \tilde{p}(-\xi)$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then clipped gradient g satisfies $\mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim \tilde{p}} \left[\langle \nabla f(x), g \rangle \right] \ge h \left(\| \nabla f(x) \| \right) \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{p}} \left[\| \xi \| < C/4 \right]$ where $h(y) = \min \left\{ y^2, \frac{3Cy}{4} \right\}$.

Distributional Approximation

Couple gradient distribution p with a symmetric distribution \tilde{p}

Convergence of SGD w/ Clipping

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \operatorname{clip}(\nabla f(x_t) + \xi_t, c) := x_t - \alpha g_t$$

Corollary 1. Consider the SGD algorithm with gradient clipping. Set $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$, and choose $\tilde{p}(\cdot)$ as a symmetric distribution satisfying $\tilde{p}_t(\xi_t) = \tilde{p}_t(-\xi_t), \forall \xi_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the following holds:

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{P}_{\xi_t \sim \tilde{p}_t} \left(\|\xi_t\| < \frac{c}{4} \right) \min\left\{ \|\nabla f(x_t)\|, \frac{3}{4}c \right\} \|\nabla f(x_t)\| \le \frac{D_f}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{G}{2\sqrt{T}}c^2 - \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} b_t, \quad (7)$$

where we have defined $b_t := \int \langle \nabla f(x_t), clip(\nabla f(x_t) + \xi_t, c) \rangle (p_t(\xi_t) - \tilde{p}_t(\xi_t)) d\xi_t.$

Convergence of Clipped DP-SGD

Theorem [CWH20]. For each *t*, let \tilde{p}_t be a "coupled" symmetric gradient distribution. Let $h(y) = \min\{y^2, \frac{3Cy}{4}\}$. Suppose that ∇f is Lipschitz, then $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{P}_{\xi \sim \tilde{p}_t} \left[\|\xi\| < C/4 \right] h(\|\nabla f(x_t)\|) \le O\left(\frac{C\sqrt{d \ln(1/\delta)}}{n\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} W_{\nabla f(x_t), C}(\tilde{p}_t, p_t)$ DP-SGD without clipping bias bounded by Wasserstein distances

Gradient Distribution of NN

Visualization with random projection



Figure 1: Gradient distributions on MNIST (top row) and CIFAR10 (bottom row) at the end of different epochs (indexed by columns). The gradients for epoch 0 are computed at initialization (before training).

Gradient Distribution of NN

Multiple random projections



Figure 2: Gradient distributions on MNIST at the end of epoch 9 projected using different random matrices.

Beyond Symmetric Distributions

- Positively skewed distributions: $\tilde{p}(\xi) \geq \tilde{p}(-\xi)$, for all ξ with $\langle \xi, \nabla f(x) \rangle$
- Certain mixtures of symmetric distributions

Clipping bias correction method:

• Adding pre-clipping noise

Part 2: Low-dimensional structure in gradients

 Yingxue Zhou, Z. S.W., Arindam Banerjee
"Bypassing the Ambient Dimension: Private SGD with Gradient Subspace" In ICLR 2021

Dimensionality

Gradient norm bound



Spectrum of Gradient Second Moments

Eigenvalues of $M_t = \mathbb{E}[\nabla \mathscr{E}(x_t, s_i) \nabla \mathscr{E}(x_t, s_i)^{\mathsf{T}}]$



Order or eigenvalues from largest to smallest Ambient dimension $d \approx 130,000$

Projected DP-SGD (PDP-SGD)

Assume small amount of public data (no privacy concern) PDP-SGD [ZWB21]

- For t = 1, ..., T
 - Gradient estimate on a mini-batch S_t : $\tilde{g}_t = \left(\frac{1}{|S_t|} \sum_{i \in S_t} \nabla \ell(x_t; s_i)\right) + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$
 - Use public data to compute projection Π_k onto the top-k eigenspace of M_t
 - Update :

 $x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \, \Pi_k \tilde{g}_t$

Balancing two sources of error

- Error due to projection $\|\Pi_k \nabla \ell(x; s_i) - \nabla \ell(x; s_i)\|$
- . Gradient perturbation in the subspace $\approx \frac{\sqrt{k}}{n\epsilon}$ (from \sqrt{d} to \sqrt{k})



(a) MNIST



Training Dynamics



Size of public dataset m



Different choices of k



Frequency of computing subspace

Updating every s rounds



Stochastic Gradient Langevin Dynamics



 α_k denotes noise rate